## The Arts

## SOME FINDS IN SÃO PAULO

From Our Art Critic—SÃO PAULO

In theory the São Paulo biennial is modelled closely on the Verice archetype, but it has an entirely different ambience. Venice runs its course with a certain sedate equilibrium, the city cooperating in the sort of smoothly run piece of cultural entertainment it is so used to. In São Paulo it is quite different. The exhibits are contained in one enormous ultra-modern concrete building with soaring ramps in white and grey, designed by the most imitated architect of Brazil, Oscar Neimpypr. This building, which is part of a widely flung complex near the edge of the city, was formerly a gallery of machines, and there is plently of room for forries to drive in among the exhibits, putting down huge pots of tropical vegetation.

It struck me that the biennial hardly makes a dent in the life of this enormous city. Most of the taxi-drivers have ho idea where to find it. In fact São Paulo itself seems to have little time for such things. It is growing so quickly that people who go away for a year or two can hardly recognize it when they return. Compared with the gentle convenience of something like the Coventry shopping centre, São Paulo is a ferment; the incredible confusion at ground level of the ferocious traffic, unreachable squares and neglected corners, is only accenuated by the refined grace of the best architecture, with its blazing white converte and rows of sun filters. Yet the biennial and the city are united in being an expression of the vitality of the Brazilian people.

This exhibition is centred on Brazilian and South American art, just as Venice is on Italian art. Again the same contrasts operate. On the whole South American art has none of the cultured smoothness of European art, with its continual contact with the past, and the work of contemporaries. The British section, for instance, which contains Patrick Heron and Victor Pasmore, has this professionalism in abundance, but it remains very much in a middle osition. The works in the huge Brazilian section downstairs range widely in quality on each side of it. On the one hand there is the work of Strijo Camargo (who won the National Scipture prize, though he is not as hiply thought of here as he is in Europe), ind one or two others including Mra Schendel and Helio Oeticica—and on the other the tritest limitations of intrationally fashionable styles. The installation of Camargo's white reists hardly does justice to them, but his two works here give an unmistakable mpression of clarity and certainty, andrea joy to see again. The drawingsof

Mira Schendel and the sculpture of Helio Oeticica seem little known even here yet, but are certainly of great interest, and I hope to write about them in detail in a later article.

The biennial as a whole is weakened

The biennial as a whole is weakened by the lack of any clear guiding conception, which is surely necessary even if it is left to each country to choose its own artists. This is particularly evident with the business of prizes. An unwieldly jury of 19, which consisted mostly of representatives from the various countries rather than objective critics, have made some very doubtful choices. The Grand Prize was given jointly to Vasarely and Alberto Burri, which strikes one, in the case of the latter, as a rather unadventurous choice, especially as the Americans featured the excellent printings of Barnett Newman, which have been too seldom seen outside the United States. The forceful hard-edge paint ups of the Japanese, Kumi Sugai, was an interesting choice for the international painting prize, but the choice of the Chilean Marta Colvin's stone pieces for the sculpture prize remains to me a restery. If the jury is good, a great deal on be said for the idea of giving prizes. But it is really leading to the crazic kind of categorizing when Jean Ting dy is given a prize "for research".

In spite of this there are rood things here which should not be hissed, and several exciting discoveries whose work may be eagerly awaited in Europe. Besides the Brazilians I have mentioned, I was also struck by the optica painting of Gerd Leufert in the Venezuelan section and a lone sculptor of great elegance from Colombia, Edgar Negref. The American section (containing Newman, Frank Stella, and others) is easily the best managed, beautifully it and hung, and Barnett Newman's paintings—one a thin vertical strip of red fainted canvas only an inch or two wide—one hopes will travel widely. The biennial also contains some of the best machines of Tinguely we have seen, and they form a rallying point. One of the best has a gigantic corkscrew-shaped arm which in motion produces a continually ascending spiral.

ascending spiral.

It only remains to mention the "theme" exhibition of "surrealism and Fantastic Art" which forms part of the biennial, though it does not dominate it. Works by all the major surrealists are included, and their pressure in this part of the world may be unprecedented. It only seems that an exhibition of surrealism is somewhat mistimed, because the character of much of the most vital South American art at the moment is in the tradition of abstract and dynamic art, which badly needs an historical



"Ofano", relief by Camargo,